STATE

2 OCT 1963

2 Dc

MORI/CDF)

STATI

SECRET

Included in that letter is a sentence stating the President has approved the recommended increase with the understanding that this would not necessitate an increase in the 1964 appropriations recommended to the Congress for the Department of Defense. 4. The Director next referred to and read from the letter from the Bureau of the Budget of 27 April 1963 to Clarence Cannon which identified the Agency's budget request and identified the two accounts in which these funds were included. The next letter referred to by the Director and reviewed by Senator Russell and Mr. Mahon was the 8 August 1963 letter from Bureau of the Budget to Senator Hayden. Mr. McCone indicated that it had been reported to him that the Senate Committee in approving the DOD bill had placed a restriction so that this additional was not available for CL expenditure. Senator Russell stated that this was not the case. 5. Mr. Hitch indicated that there would be no increase in the President's recommended program for DOD as a result of the 8 August letter since by this time the authorisation bills passed by the Congress had reduced the recommended levels by far more than the After some discussion Russell stated that he "thought the other was up to the Executive Branch" to allocate if the programs were sufficiently valuable to be carried on. Russell also stated that he thought the other was "up to DOD" to determine which accounts should be adjusted to make these funds available if the programs were to be carried on. Insofar as additional amounts being made available by the Congress at this time, Russell stated "we have gone as far as we can." Russell als added that he had assumed, possibly wrongly so, that the House action on this matter was such as to require DOD to absorb the		OLUILI	
from the Bureau of the Budget of 27 April 1963 to Clarence Cannon which identified the Agency's budget request and identified the two accounts in which these funds were included. The next letter referred to by the Director and reviewed by Senator Russell and Mr. Mahon was the 8 August 1963 letter from Bureau of the Budget to Senator Hayden. Mr. McCone indicated that it had been reported to him that the Senate Committee in approving the DOD bill had placed a restriction so that this additional was not available for Cleexpenditure. Senator Russell stated that this was not the case. 5. Mr. Hitch indicated that there would be no increase in the President's recommended program for DOD as a result of the 8 August letter since by this time the authorisation bills passed by the Congress had reduced the recommended levels by far more than the After some discussion Russell stated that he "thought the other was up to the Executive Branch" to allocate if the programs were sufficiently valuable to be carried on. Russell also stated that he though it was "up to DOD" to determine which accounts should be adjusted to make these funds available if the programs were to be carried on. Insofar as additional amounts being made available by the Congress at this time, Russell stated "we have gone as far as we can." Russell also added that he had assumed, possibly wrongly so, that the House action	standing that thi appropriations r	s approved the recomme would not necessitate a	nded increase with the under- n increase in the 1964
which identified the Agency's budget request and identified the two accounts in which these funds were included. The next letter referred to by the Director and reviewed by Senator Russell and Mr. Mahon was the 8 August 1963 letter from Bureau of the Budget to Senator Hayden. Mr. McCone indicated that it had been reported to him that the Senate Committee in approving the DOD bill had placed a restriction so that this additional was not available for CI/expenditure. Senator Russell stated that this was not the case. 5. Mr. Hitch indicated that there would be no increase in the President's recommended program for DOD as a result of the 8 August letter since by this time the authorisation bills passed by the Congress had reduced the recommended levels by far more than the After some discussion Russell stated that he "thought the other was up to the Executive Branch" to allocate if the programs were sufficiently valuable to be carried on. Russell also stated that he thought was "up to DOD" to determine which accounts should be adjusted to make these funds available if the programs were to be carried on. Insofar as additional amounts being made available by the Congress at this time, Russell stated "we have gone as far as we can." Russell also added that he had assumed, possibly wrongly so, that the House action			
identified the two accounts in which these funds were included. The next letter referred to by the Director and reviewed by Senator Russell and Mr. Mahon was the 8 August 1963 letter from Bureau of the Budget to Senator Hayden. Mr. McCone indicated that it had been reported to him that the Senate Committee in approving the DOD bill had placed a restriction so that this additional was not available for Cliexpenditure. Senator Russell stated that this was not the case. 5. Mr. Hitch indicated that there would be no increase in the President's recommended program for DOD as a result of the 8 August letter since by this time the authorization bills passed by the Congress had reduced the recommended levels by far more than the After some discussion Russell stated that he "thought the other was up to the Executive Branch" to allocate if the programs were sufficiently valuable to be carried on. Russell also stated that he though it was "up to DOD" to determine which accounts should be adjusted to make these funds available if the programs were to be carried on. Insofar as additional amounts being made available by the Congress at this time, Russell stated "we have gone as far as we can." Russell als added that he had assumed, possibly wrongly so, that the House action			
next letter referred to by the Director and reviewed by Senator Russell and Mr. Mahon was the 8 August 1963 letter from Bureau of the Budget to Senator Hayden. Mr. McCone indicated that it had been reported to him that the Senate Committee in approving the DOD bill had placed a restriction so that this additional was not available for CL expenditure. Senator Russell stated that this was not the case. 5. Mr. Hitch indicated that there would be no increase in the President's recommended program for DOD as a result of the 8 August letter since by this time the authorisation bills passed by the Congress had reduced the recommended levels by far more than the After some discussion Russell stated that he "thought the other was up to the Executive Branch" to allocate if the programs were sufficiently valuable to be carried on. Russell also stated that he though it was "up to DOD" to determine which accounts should be adjusted to make these funds available if the programs were to be carried on. Insofar as additional amounts being made available by the Congress at this time, Russell stated "we have gone as far as we can." Russell als added that he had assumed, possibly wrongly so, that the House action			
and Mr. Mahon was the 8 August 1963 letter from Bureau of the Budget to Senator Hayden. Mr. McCone indicated that it had been reported to him that the Senate Committee in approving the DOD bill had placed a restriction so that this additional was not available for CL expenditure. Senator Russell stated that this was not the case. 5. Mr. Hitch indicated that there would be no increase in the President's recommended program for DOD as a result of the 8 August letter since by this time the authorisation bills passed by the Congress had reduced the recommended levels by far more than the After some discussion Russell stated that he "thought the other was up to the Executive Branch" to allocate if the programs were sufficiently valuable to be carried on. Russell also stated that he though it was "up to DOD" to determine which accounts should be adjusted to make these funds available if the programs were to be carried on. Insofar as additional amounts being made available by the Congress at this time, Russell stated "we have gone as far as we can." Russell als added that he had assumed, possibly wrongly so, that the House action			
to Senator Hayden. Mr. McCone indicated that it had been reported to him that the Senate Committee in approving the DOD bill had placed a restriction so that this additional was not available for CL expenditure. Senator Russell stated that this was not the case. 5. Mr. Hitch indicated that there would be no increase in the President's recommended program for DOD as a result of the 8 August letter since by this time the authorisation bills passed by the Congress had reduced the recommended levels by far more than the After some discussion Russell stated that he "thought the other was up to the Executive Branch" to allocate if the programs were sufficiently valuable to be carried on. Russell also stated that he thought was "up to DOD" to determine which accounts should be adjusted to make these funds available if the programs were to be carried on. Insofar as additional amounts being made available by the Congress at this time, Russell stated "we have gone as far as we can." Russell als added that he had assumed, possibly wrongly so, that the House action			
expenditure. Senator Russell stated that this was not available for CL expenditure. Senator Russell stated that this was not the case. 5. Mr. Hitch indicated that there would be no increase in the President's recommended program for DOD as a result of the 8 August letter since by this time the authorisation bills passed by the Congress had reduced the recommended levels by far more than the After some discussion Russell stated that he "thought the other was up to the Executive Branch" to allocate if the programs were sufficiently valuable to be carried on. Russell also stated that he thought was "up to DOD" to determine which accounts should be adjusted to make these funds available if the programs were to be carried on. Insofar as additional amounts being made available by the Congress at this time, Russell stated "we have gone as far as we can." Russell als added that he had assumed, possibly wrongly so, that the House action			
5. Mr. Hitch indicated that there would be no increase in the President's recommended program for DOD as a result of the 8 August letter since by this time the authorisation bills passed by the Congress had reduced the recommended levels by far more than the After some discussion Russell stated that he "thought the other was up to the Executive Branch" to allocate if the programs were sufficiently valuable to be carried on. Russell also stated that he though it was "up to DOD" to determine which accounts should be adjusted to make these funds available if the programs were to be carried on. Insofar as additional amounts being made available by the Congress at this time, Russell stated "we have gone as far as we can." Russell also added that he had assumed, possibly wrongly so, that the House action			ng the DOD bill had placed a
5. Mr. Hitch indicated that there would be no increase in the President's recommended program for DOD as a result of the 8 August letter since by this time the authorisation bills passed by the Congress had reduced the recommended levels by far more than the After some discussion Russell stated that he "thought the other was up to the Executive Branch" to allocate if the programs were sufficiently valuable to be carried on. Russell also stated that he thought was "up to DOD" to determine which accounts should be adjusted to make these funds available if the programs were to be carried on. Insofar as additional amounts being made available by the Congress at this time, Russell stated "we have gone as far as we can." Russell als added that he had assumed, possibly wrongly so, that the House action			
President's recommended program for DOD as a result of the 8 August letter since by this time the authorisation bills passed by the Congress had reduced the recommended levels by far more than the After some discussion Russell stated that he "thought the other was up to the Executive Branch" to allocate if the programs were sufficiently valuable to be carried on. Russell also stated that he though it was "up to DOD" to determine which accounts should be adjusted to make these funds available if the programs were to be carried on. Insofar as additional amounts being made available by the Congress at this time, Russell stated "we have gone as far as we can." Russell als added that he had assumed, possibly wrongly so, that the House action	expenditure. Se	istor Kussell stated that	this was not the case.
President's recommended program for DOD as a result of the 8 August letter since by this time the authorisation bills passed by the Congress had reduced the recommended levels by far more than the After some discussion Russell stated that he "thought the other was up to the Executive Branch" to allocate if the programs were sufficiently valuable to be carried on. Russell also stated that he though it was "up to DOD" to determine which accounts should be adjusted to make these funds available if the programs were to be carried on. Insofar as additional amounts being made available by the Congress at this time, Russell stated "we have gone as far as we can." Russell als added that he had assumed, possibly wrongly so, that the House action	5. Mr. 1	litch indicated that there	would be no increase in the
had reduced the recommended levels by far more than the After some discussion Russell stated that he "thought the other was up to the Executive Branch" to allocate if the programs were sufficiently valuable to be carried on. Russell also stated that he thought was "up to DOD" to determine which accounts should be adjusted to make these funds available if the programs were to be carried on. Insofar as additional amounts being made available by the Congress at this time, Russell stated "we have gone as far as we can." Russell also added that he had assumed, possibly wrongly so, that the House action			
After some discussion Russell stated that he "thought the other was up to the Executive Branch" to allocate if the programs were sufficiently valuable to be carried on. Russell also stated that he though it was "up to DOD" to determine which accounts should be adjusted to make these funds available if the programs were to be carried on. Insofar as additional amounts being made available by the Congress at this time, Russell stated "we have gone as far as we can." Russell also added that he had assumed, possibly wrongly so, that the House action	letter since by the	is time the authorisation	bills passed by the Congress
was up to the Executive Branch" to allocate if the programs were sufficiently valuable to be carried on. Russell also stated that he though it was "up to DOD" to determine which accounts should be adjusted to make these funds available if the programs were to be carried on. Insofar as additional amounts being made available by the Congress at this time, Russell stated "we have gone as far as we can." Russell also added that he had assumed, possibly wrongly so, that the House action			
sufficiently valuable to be carried on. Russell also stated that he thought was "up to DOD" to determine which accounts should be adjusted to make these funds available if the programs were to be carried on. Insofar as additional amounts being made available by the Congress at this time, Russell stated "we have gone as far as we can." Russell also added that he had assumed, possibly wrongly so, that the House action			
it was "up to DOD" to determine which accounts should be adjusted to make these funds available if the programs were to be carried on. Insofar as additional amounts being made available by the Congress at this time, Russell stated "we have gone as far as we can." Russell als added that he had assumed, possibly wrongly so, that the House action			
make these funds available if the programs were to be carried on. Insofar as additional amounts being made available by the Congress at this time, Russell stated "we have gone as far as we can." Russell als added that he had assumed, possibly wrongly so, that the House action	it was "up to DO	D' to determine which ac	counts should be adjusted to
this time, Russell stated "we have gone as far as we can." Russell als added that he had assumed, possibly wrongly so, that the House action	make these fund	available if the program	as were to be carried on.
added that he had assumed, possibly wrongly so, that the House action	insofar as additi	mai amounts being made	available by the Congress at
on this matter was such as to require DOD to shooth the			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	added that he had	assumed, possibly wro	ngly so, that the House action
6. Mr. Mahon pointed out that the CIA Subcommittee of House			
Appropriations has not finally approved a total budget figure for CIA an			
Appropriations has not finally approved a total budget figure for CIA an that final action depends on Mr. Cannon and his Subcommittee and			
Appropriations has not finally approved a total budget figure for CIA an that final action depends on Mr. Cannon and his Subcommittee and Mr. Russell and his Subcommittee. There was also discussion of	and making funds	available from other ac	counts to make up the
Appropriations has not finally approved a total budget figure for CIA an that final action depends on Mr. Cannon and his Subcommittee and Mr. Russell and his Subcommittee. There was also discussion of whether there were any legal restrictions in terms of reapportionment and making funds available from other accounts to make up the	Amount hours total		
Appropriations has not finally approved a total budget figure for CIA and that final action depends on Mr. Cannon and his Subcommittee and Mr. Russell and his Subcommittee. There was also discussion of whether there were any legal restrictions in terms of reapportionment and making funds available from other accounts to make up the item. Mr. Hitch stated that he knew of none, that in effect this was a		4	
Appropriations has not finally approved a total budget figure for CIA an that final action depends on Mr. Cannon and his Subcommittee and Mr. Russell and his Subcommittee. There was also discussion of whether there were any legal restrictions in terms of reapportionment and making funds available from other accounts to make up the	question of nation	al priorities and once th	tese were determined appropri

7. Mr. Mahon stated that he was not in a position at this meeting to state what the Agency total should be but that he individually would support the program. It was pointed out that some had in 25X1 fact already been expended in 1963 and considerable sums so far in 1964. Mr. McCone referred then to Mr. Cannon's letter to the Bureau of the Budget of 20 June 1963 to the effect that the Agency could continue with its regular program under the terms of the Joint Resolution and in addition the Agency was authorized to proceed with the program discussed in the hearings which contemplates withdrawais from Reserves up to thus indicating that up to now and in part the House Committee has expressed its will on the availability of funds to CIA for these programs. Mahon stated that when final decisions are made as to the total CIA budget, opportunity will exist for the Congress to express its will as to the total, not in any conference report of course, but in the usual letter from Cannon to the Agency.

8. Mr. Russell indicated that he would like more information from DOD on the reprogramming which would be required by DOD absorbing the Mr. Hitch stated he would be glad, of course, to confer with the Senator on this. In connection with Congress furnishing guidance on its will, Mr. Mahon stated that the usual letter from Cannon to the Agency setting forth what was available for Agency expenditure would furnish such guidelines. (The Senate in the past has furnished a similar letter.) Mr. Mahon indicated that the conference on the DOD appropriation bill is planned for ten o'clock this coming Friday, the 4th of October.

S/ John S. Warner JOHN S. WARNER Legislative Counsel

cc: DCI

Comptroller

OGC/LC - Acctg - Budget

CIA Sub House Armed Services

CIA Sub Senate Armed Services

Chrono

25X1

25X1